

**EXHIBIT J**

# Greenberg Traurig

## Transmittal Cover Sheet

From:  
William E. Chipman, Esq.

Tel:  
302.661.7371

E-Mail:  
ChipmanW@gtlaw.com

To:	Fax No:	Company:	Phone No.:
Gary Kaplan, Esq. (Magten)	212-859-4000	Fried Frank Harris Shriver & Jacobson	
John Snellings, Esq. (Law Debenture)	617-345-1300	Nixon Peabody	
Alan W. Kornberg, Esq. (Committee)	212-373-2053	Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison	
Jesse Austin, Esq. Karol Denniston, Esq. (Debtor)	404-815-2424	Paul Hastings	
Thomas J. Knapp	605-978-2845	Northwestern Corp.	

File No.: 64489.010100

Re: Northwestern/Magten proceedings

Date: January 6, 2005

No. Pages: Including Cover Sheet 1

If you do not receive all pages properly, please call 302.661.7000.

Notes:

Also sent via: ☒ US Mail ☐ Overnight ☐ Messenger ☐ Email ☐ No Other

The information contained in this transmission is attorney privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you for your postage. Thank you.

The Brandywine Building, 1000 West Street, Suite 1540, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Phone: 302.661.7000 Fax: 302.661.7360

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	:	Chapter 11
	:	
NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION,	:	Case No. 03-12872 (JLP)
	:	
Debtor.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		
NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT	:	Adv. No. 04-55051 (JLP)
CORPORATION, and TALTON R. EMBRY,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		

**RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS OF NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION TO THE  
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES BY MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT  
CORPORATION AND TALTON R. EMBRY**

NorthWestern Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("**NorthWestern**" or the "**Debtor**"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds and objects (the "**Response**"), to the First Set of Interrogatories Directed to Debtor NorthWestern Corporation (the "**Interrogatories**") of Magten Asset Management Corporation and Talton R. Embry (collectively, "**Magten**"). In support of this Response, NorthWestern respectfully represents as follows:

**GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Debtors object to the Interrogatories as follows:

1.

Debtor objects to the instructions and definitions set forth in the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose obligations on Debtor beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the rules of this Court.

2.

Debtor objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that the information sought is subject to the attorney-client privilege, constitutes work product, was prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial or is otherwise privileged or exempt from discovery.

3.

Debtor objects to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

4.

Debtor objects to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information already within the possession, custody or control of Magten or its counsel.

5.

Debtor objects to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information not within the possession, custody or control of Debtor.

6.

Debtor provides these responses and objections subject to further discovery in this action and further verification and research.

7.

Debtor objects to the "Definitions" to the extent that they alter, vary, or expand the requirements imposed by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the rules of this Court.

8.

Debtor objects to the definition of "Advisors" as stated in the Definitions on the grounds that this definition includes Debtor's attorneys and agents of its attorneys, to the extent the information requested constitutes confidential, attorney-client work product and/or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, that is not subject to discovery.

9.

Debtor objects to these Interrogatories as exceeding the number, including subparts, permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a). These Interrogatories exceed twenty-five in number, including all discrete subparts.

10.

Debtor objects to each and every one of the Interrogatories to the extent it seeks information not related to the time period at issue in this case – September 14, 2003 through May 6, 2004 the date Magten was removed from the Committee and/or August 25, 2004 the date of the Debtor's initial confirmation hearing pursuant to which Magten and/or its legal advisors, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP ("**Fried Frank**"), continued to receive material, non-public information in connection with the ongoing disputed confirmation process and related settlement process (the "**Relevant Time Period**"), as overly broad and not being reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

11.

These General Objections shall be deemed to be continuing and incorporated throughout Debtor's responses to specific Interrogatories which follow, even if not specifically referenced therein. The statement of additional objections to specific interrogatories shall not constitute a waiver of these General Objections.

12.

Debtor reserves the right to supplement, alter or amend these responses as necessary or appropriate or upon discovery of additional responsive information.

### **RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES**

1. Identify all persons with knowledge of any facts relating to the allegations set forth in the Complaint, including a description of such knowledge and facts.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 1:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine by requesting a "description of knowledge and facts." Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern states that in addition to its counsel, the persons with knowledge of facts relating to the allegations in this adversary proceeding are as follows:

<b>Individual/Entity</b>	<b>Knowledge</b>
Talton Embry	Principal of Magten with knowledge of trading activities, trading order entered by the Court, Magten's draft motion for entry of an order clarifying the order of the Court permitting securities trading upon the establishment of an ethical wall and receipt of information
Bonnie Steingart	Counsel for Magten with knowledge regarding Magten's involvement on the Committee, receipt of communications and documents from the Committee and the Debtor, trading order entered by the Court, and Magten's draft motion for entry of an order clarifying the order of the Court permitting securities trading upon the establishment of an ethical wall

Gary Kaplan	Counsel for Magten with knowledge regarding Magten's involvement on the Committee, receipt of communications and documents from the Committee and the Debtor, trading order entered by the Court, and Magten's draft motion for entry of an order clarifying the order of the Court permitting securities trading upon the establishment of an ethical wall
Meagan E. Costello	Counsel for Magten with knowledge regarding Magten's involvement on the Committee, receipt of communications and documents from the Committee and the Debtor, trading order entered by the Court, and Magten's draft motion for entry of an order clarifying the order of the Court permitting securities trading upon the establishment of an ethical wall
Jordanna Nadritch	Counsel for Magten with knowledge regarding Magten's involvement on the Committee, receipt of communications and documents from the Committee and the Debtor, trading order entered by the Court, and Magten's draft motion for entry of an order clarifying the order of the Court permitting securities trading upon the establishment of an ethical wall
Gary Drook, Chief Executive Officer of NorthWestern	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
William M. Austin, Chief Restructuring Officer of NorthWestern	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Brian B. Bird, Chief Financial Officer of NorthWestern	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Michael Nieman, Director – Financial Planning and Analysis of NorthWestern	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Michael Hanson – President and CEO of NorthWestern	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members

Paul Evans	Met with the representatives of the Committee and/or members of the Committee; NorthWestern representative with knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Andrew Yearley	Financial advisor to the Debtor who communicated regularly with representatives of the Committee and has knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Suneel Mandava	Financial advisor to the Debtor who communicated regularly with representatives of the Committee and has knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
Christopher Hooper	Financial advisor to the Debtor who communicated regularly with representatives of the Committee and has knowledge of information furnished to the Committee, its advisors and/or its members
P. Eric Siegert	Financial advisor to the Committee who communicated regularly with representatives of the Debtor and the Committee
Brad Geer	Financial advisor to the Committee who communicated regularly with representatives of the Debtor and the Committee
Matthew A. Mazzucchi	Financial advisor to the Committee who communicated regularly with representatives of the Debtor and the Committee
Luke Beltinck	Financial advisor to the Committee who communicated regularly with representatives of the Debtor and the Committee
OCM Opportunities Fund IV, LP	Member of the Committee
Law Debenture Trust Company of New York	Member of the Committee
The Bank of New York	Member of the Committee
Franklin Templeton Mutual Series Fund	Member of the Committee
Wilmington Trust Company	Member of the Committee
HSBC Bank USA	Member of the Committee
Rocky Mountain Contractors, Inc.	Member of the Committee
Avenue Capital Management	Member of the Committee
AG Capital Recovery Partners III, LP	Member of the Committee
Comanche Park LLC	Member of the Committee
Capital Institutional Service	Broker involved in Magten's trading of Debtor's securities



The Bear Stearns Companies Inc.	Broker involved in Magten's trading of Debtor's securities
Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.	Broker involved in Magten's trading of Debtor's securities
Oscar Gruss & Son Incorporated	Broker involved in Magten's trading of Debtor's securities
Tradition Asiel Securities, Inc.	Broker involved in Magten's trading of Debtor's securities
Mark Kenney	U.S. Trustee for the Debtor's bankruptcy proceeding with knowledge of Magten's appointment and removal from the Committee and draft motion regarding the trading order
Alan Kornberg	Counsel for the Committee with knowledge of Magten's involvement on the Committee and communications with Magten while a Committee member

2. Identify and describe any and all communications made or received between NorthWestern, or its Advisors and either Defendant concerning or relating to the underlying facts alleged in the Complaint.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 2:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous in that NorthWestern cannot discern whether this Interrogatory seeks the identity and description of privileged or protected communications made between NorthWestern and its Advisors only. NorthWestern, therefore, objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege and immunity. NorthWestern further objects to "identify and describe each and every document" to the extent this request is duplicative of the documents produced in connection with Defendants' First Request for Production of Documents (the "Requests"), served on December 10, 2004, and attempts to require NorthWestern to identify responsive documents scheduled for production on January 10, 2005. As such Interrogatory No. 2 is unduly burdensome and not required by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Subject to the foregoing objections and

General Objections, NorthWestern identifies the following non-privileged, confidential communications:

Date of Communication	Description of Communication
October 3 through October 13, 2003	Several phone conversations between Mr. Hanson and financial advisors to the Committee regarding business and regulatory issues.
October 3, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 3, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson regarding the number of peaker plants owned by NorthWestern
October 4, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 3, 2003 email regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 4, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 4, 2003	Email from B. Geer to M. Hanson regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 6, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson regarding financial models
October 6, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 6, 2003 email regarding financial models
October 6, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 3, 2003 email regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 3, 2003 email regarding peaker plants
October 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 3, 2003 email regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to his October 3, 2003 email regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 7, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson responding to October 7, 2003 email regarding NorthWestern's utility operations
October 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to October 7, 2003 email regarding utility operations
October 7, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson responding to October 6, 2003 email regarding capital structure
October 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck responding to October 3, 2003 email regarding regulated rate bases
October 13, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck regarding overhead allocations
December 4, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck regarding term sheet

December 4, 2003	Email from L. Beltinck to M. Hanson regarding term sheet to acquire NorthWestern's Natural Gas Distribution Systems in Nebraska
December 4, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck regarding regulatory issues related to proposed sale of assets
December 5, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck regarding regulatory issues related to proposed sale of assets
December 7, 2003	Email from M. Hanson to L. Beltinck regarding proposed sale of NorthWestern's Natural Gas Distribution Systems in Nebraska
December 8, 2003	Telephone conference among M. Hanson, L. Beltinck and B. Geer regarding proposed sale of NorthWestern's Nebraska properties
December 18, 2003	G. Drook, B. Bird and M. Hanson attended meeting with Committee regarding 5-year financial forecast; NorthWestern provided the Financial Update (December 18, 2003) presentation and cash flows statement breakdown to the Committee and advisors to the Committee, including counsel and financial advisors. The Debtor's and Committee's professional advisors were also present
January 14, 2004	G. Drook and M. Hanson communicated with T. Fuller, A. Kornberg, and representatives of Houlihan Lokey regarding issues raised by the Montana Public Service Commission
January 27, 2004	G. Drook, B. Bird and M. Hanson met with T. Fuller, A. Kornberg and representatives of Houlihan Lokey regarding the 5-year financial forecast
February 25, 2004	G. Drook and M. Hanson met with T. Fuller regarding the performance of NorthWestern in general
March 23, 2004	G. Drook and M. Hanson met with T. Fuller to discuss the proposed retention of Pearl Meyer and Associates to review board and executive compensation
February 16, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to A. Kornberg, M. Skapof and E. Diamond re Draft Plan of Reorganization
May 2004	B. Bird met with T. Fuller in New York regarding refinancing
May 3, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to Distribution List circulating draft of plan and disclosure statement
May 14, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to Distribution List re Plan and Disclosure Statement
May 19, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to Distribution List re Plan and Disclosure Statement
May 20, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to Distribution List re Revised First Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement
May 21, 2004	Email from C. Chayavadhanangkur to Distribution List Revised First Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement
July 19, 2004	Email from W. Chipman to M. Costello regarding Confidentiality Agreement

July 27, 2004	Transcript of July 27, 2004 Deposition of Talton Embry
August 2, 2004	Email from T. Fuller to G. Drook, B. Bird and J. Austin (cc E. Diamond) regarding New Management Incentive Plan and Plan Distributions

3. Identify and describe each and every document which you contend was provided to either Defendant.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 3:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous and unintelligible and overly broad as this Interrogatory fails to identify with any limitation from whom either Defendants received such documents, what such documents concerned, or whether "you contend" limits this Interrogatory to the allegations in this adversary proceeding. NorthWestern further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information not related to the Relevant Time Period as overly broad and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. NorthWestern further objects to "identify and describe each and every document" to the extent this request is duplicative of the documents produced in connection with Defendants' First Request for Production of Documents served on December 10, 2004, and attempts to require NorthWestern to identify responsive documents scheduled for production on January 10, 2005. As such Interrogatory No. 3 is unduly burdensome and not required by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Subject to the foregoing objections and General Objections, NorthWestern states that NorthWestern provided the following information and documents to the Committee during the Relevant Time Period:

1) All communications and documents produced or made available to the Committee or its Advisors during the Relevant Time Period as identified in NorthWestern's responses to the Interrogatories and all responsive documents produced in connection with the Defendants' First Request for Production of Documents served on December 10, 2004 and scheduled for production on January 10, 2005;

2) All communications and documents produced or made available to Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, for inspection and copying in connection with Magten Asset Management Corporations First Request for Production of Documents dated May 17, 2004 and viewed by Fried Frank in July 2004;

3) All communications and documents produced or made available to Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, in connection with its the Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition Notice dated May 17, 2004;

4) All communications and documents produced or made available to the Debtor in connection with Debtor's First Request for Production of Documents to Magten Asset Management Corporation dated June 25, 2004;

5) All communications and documents produced or made available to Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, for inspection and copying in the Document Inspection Room located at the New York, New York offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky, and Walker LLP;

6) All pleadings served in the matter of *In re NorthWestern Corporation*, Case No. 03-12872;

7) All pleadings served in *NorthWestern v. Magten Asset Management Corporation*, Adversary Proceeding No. 04-55051;

8) All pleadings served in the *Magten Asset Management Corporation v. NorthWestern Corporation*, Adversary Proceeding No. 04-53324;

9) All documents and communications to be produced or made available by Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, to the Debtor in connection with the Debtor's First

Request for Production of Documents to Magten Asset Management Corporation dated November 23, 2004; and

10) All documents in connection with Defendants' First Request for Production of Documents served on December 10, 2004 and scheduled for production on January 10, 2005.

4. Identify and describe each and every communication or document describing or discussing such communication which you contend was provided to either Defendant.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 4:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory as, in part, duplicative of Interrogatory No. 3. NorthWestern further objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and overly broad in that this Interrogatory does not identify with any limitation from whom either Defendants received such documents, what such documents concerned, or whether "you contend" limits this Interrogatory to the allegations in this adversary proceeding. NorthWestern further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information not related to the Relevant Time Period as overly broad and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. NorthWestern further objects to "identify and describe each and every communication or document" to the extent this request is duplicative of the documents produced in connection with Defendants' First Request for Production of Documents served on December 10, 2004, and attempts to require NorthWestern to identify responsive documents scheduled for production on January 10, 2005. As such Interrogatory No. 4 is unduly burdensome and not required by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Subject to the foregoing objections and General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its Response to Interrogatory No. 3 as if stated fully herein.



5. Identify all persons at NorthWestern, and all persons acting as or on behalf of Advisors to NorthWestern that communicated with either Defendant from the date that Magten was appointed to the Committee through the date that Magten was removed from the Committee, and describe each and every communication, including, but not limited to, the substance of the communication, the date of the communication and the nature of the communication.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 5:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern, except as identified in responsive documents to be produced to Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, or as set forth above, NorthWestern's communications were made to the Committee of which Magten was a member and the Committee's advisors, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind Wharton & Garrison LLP and Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin.

6. Identify and describe each and every "material non-public" document referred to in the allegations set forth in the Complaint.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 6:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern states that the following material non-public information was provided to the Committee during the Relevant Time Period:

Description of Information	Date Became Public
Information in connection with the request to appoint an equity committee in the Debtor's bankruptcy case	Remains confidential. While certain equity holders filed a motion to appoint an equity committee on or about March 24, 2004, information regarding the initial request and discussions with certain equity holders remains confidential.
Information in connection with statements of interests, subject to conditions such as completion of due diligence, to purchase some or all of the Debtor's assets and operations	Remains confidential. While the Debtor disclosed that such offers were received, the identities of such parties and the analysis of such proposals have remained confidential.

Information in connection with the Debtor's operations and projections.	Remains confidential. During the bankruptcy, the Debtor provided the Committee and/or its advisors, information regarding its operations and financial projections in connection with preparing valuations of the Debtor. While the valuations and final projections are no longer confidential, much of the information provided to the Committee or its advisors regarding the Debtor's operations remains confidential.
Information in connection with the exit financing entered into by the Debtor	Remains confidential. On or about November 1, 2004, the Debtor finalized documentation of its exit financing facility. Prior to November 1, 2004, the Debtor provided the Committee with information regarding the terms of such financing and financial projections provided to the lenders in connection with the financing.
Information in connection with the DIP and CSFB financing entered into by the Debtor	Remains confidential. During its bankruptcy, the Debtor entered into a DIP financing facility and amended its credit facility with CSFB. Prior to filing the motions and entering into such agreements, the Debtor shared confidential information with the Committee regarding the terms of the financings and financial projections provided to the lenders in connection with the financing. Aside from information disclosed in various pleadings this information remains confidential.
Information presented at the December 18, 2003 meeting with the Committee including initial 5-year plan and cash flows statement	With the exception of information disclosed in bankruptcy pleadings, including the Disclosure Statement filed on March 11, 2004, this information remains confidential. Throughout the Debtor's case, the Debtor provided the Committee with updates on the 5-year plan, cash flow statements and financial projections as well as drafts of the plan and disclosure statement. These drafts remain confidential.
Information regarding the draft plan and disclosure statement	With the exception of information disclosed in bankruptcy pleadings, including the Disclosure Statements and Plans filed on March 11, 2004, May 14, 2004 and August 18, 2004, this information remains confidential. Throughout the Debtor's case, the Debtor provided the Committee with updates on the 5-year plan, cash flow statements and financial projections along with drafts of the plan and disclosure statement. These drafts remain confidential.



Status of negotiations with Harbert Management Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company regarding treatment of TOPrS under the Debtor's Plan	August 18, 2004. The Committee was actively involved in settlement negotiations with Harbert and Wilmington Trust and was a party to the final settlement agreement incorporated into the Debtor's Plan. The fact of the settlement and the terms thereof remained confidential until the filing of the Debtor's amended Plan on August 18, 2004.
Status of negotiations and mediations regarding <i>McGreevey, et al. v. The Montana Power Company</i> (the "McGreevey Litigation"), Case No. CV 03-01-BU-SHE, U.S District Court for the District of Montana	August 13, 2004. The Debtor filed a motion to approve a memorandum of understanding in connection with the McGreevey Litigation. However, the parties are finalizing the settlement documents and related settlement communications and negotiations remain confidential.
Information regarding the status of the financial investigation regarding the Montana Public Service Commission (the "MPSC") and the Montana Consumer Counsel (the "MCC") and settlement discussions with the MPSC and MCC	June 4, 2004. The Debtor filed a motion for an order approving the stipulation and settlement agreement among the Debtor, the MPSC and the MCC. Information regarding settlement negotiations and communications remains confidential.
Information related to the stipulation of settlement among, the Debtor, the Atlantic Richfield Company, the United States on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of the Interior, the State of Montana, and the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes (the "Milltown Stipulation")	May 10, 2004. The Debtor's filed its motion for an order approving the Milltown Stipulation. Information regarding settlement negotiations and communications remains confidential.
Information related to the Securities and Exchange Commission Investigation	Remains confidential. The SEC notified the Debtor that it had issued a formal order of private investigation relating to questions regarding the restatements and other accounting and financial reporting matters in December 2003.
Status of negotiations and mediation regarding <i>In re NorthWestern Corporation Securities Litigation</i> , Case No. CIV 03-3049, U.S District Court for the District of South Dakota, and <i>In re NorthWestern Derivative Litigation</i> , Case No. 03-4091, U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota (collectively, the "Securities Litigation")	April 28, 2004. The Debtor filed a motion to approve a memorandum of understanding in connection with the Securities Litigation. The communication regarding the settlement and negotiations regarding the settlement remains confidential.

01/06/2005 17:08 GREENBERG TRAURIG WILMINGTON

NO. 546 0017

7. Identify all fact witnesses that NorthWestern intends to call at the trial in this case and for each such witness specify:

- a) The substance that each witness will or can be expected to give with respect to any issue in this case;
- b) All documents upon which each witness will rely or which such witness will consider.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 7:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information beyond that required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates by reference all the individuals identified in NorthWestern's Response to Interrogatory No. 1 and states that such individuals may be called as fact witnesses at trial.

8. Identify each person the Debtor intends to call as an expert witness at the trial in this case and for each such witness specify:

- a) The substance that the expert witness will or can be expected to give the respect to any issue in this case;
- b) A summary of the grounds for the opinions to which the expert is expected to testify;
- c) All documents which provide a basis for any opinion rendered by the expert witness at trial which the expert will produce, identify, or authenticate at trial;

**Response to Interrogatory No. 8:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information beyond that required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern states that it has not yet designated any expert in this matter.

9. Identify any and all material, non-public information provided to either Defendant that you contend remained material and non-public after NorthWestern filed its plan of reorganization and related disclosure statement on March 11, 2004, and for each piece of information provided specify:

- a) Each fact which you contend remained material and non-public after March 11, 2004; and
- b) State the date by which each fact identified in part (a) above became public such that the recipient or the information would be free to trade in NorthWestern's securities without restriction.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 9:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatory No. 6 as if stated fully herein.

10. Identify any and all material, non-public information provided to either Defendant that you contend remained material and non-public after May 6, 2004, and for each piece of information provided specify:

- a) Each fact which you contend remained material and non-public after May 6, 2004; and
- b) State the date by which each fact identified in part (a) above became public such that the recipient or the information would be free to trade in NorthWestern's securities without restriction.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 10:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory as, in part, duplicative of Interrogatory No. 9. Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatory Nos. 6 and 9 as if stated fully herein.

11. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, as follows:

“Upon information and belief, upon being appointed to the Committee, Magten obtained possession of the Debtor’s and the Committee’s material, non-public information.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 11:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, material non-public information was routinely provided to the Committee and its advisors. Magten was a member of the Committee and Magten and/or its legal advisors received the material non-public information as and when provided. Alternatively, knowledge of such material non-public information is imputed to Magten as a result of its status as a Committee member. In this case the Debtor and the Committee executed a confidentiality agreement. Under the confidentiality agreement, each Committee member agreed to maintain as confidential all non-public and proprietary information provided by or to the Committee. Pursuant to the confidentiality agreement, the Debtor, through its counsel, senior management and financial advisors, Lazard Freres & Co., provided various Committee members, the Committee, and its advisors, with confidential, non-public information regarding the status of litigation, pleadings and the plan of reorganization. As a member of the Committee, the Defendants received non-public information shared with Committee counsel.

12. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, including, without limitation

“Upon information and belief, Defendants were in possession of and relied upon the Debtor’s and the Committee’s non-public information when executing the securities transactions.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 12:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatory No. 11 as if stated fully herein. The Defendants, by their own admission, traded while Magten was a member of the Committee and shortly after being removed from the Committee. Transcript of Deposition of Talton Embry dated July 27, 2004, p. 23. During this time period, Magten and/or its legal advisors received material non-public information as set forth herein and/or had access to the information as a result of Magten’s membership on the Committee.

13. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, including, without limitation:

“Upon information and belief, the Defendants remained in possession of and relied upon, the Debtor’s and the committee’s non-public information with respect to some of all of these QUIPS purchases.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 13:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11 and 12 as if stated fully herein. As far as NorthWestern is aware, Magten still retains all of the material non-public information it received or had access to while a member of the Committee.

14. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, as follows:

“In addition, as members of the Committee, Defendants were obligated to comply with the Securities Trading Order. The Securities Trading Order prohibited trading by Committee members, such as Defendants, while members of the Committee and in possession of non-public information without first establishing an Ethical Wall.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 14:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory, in part, because the Securities Trading Order speaks for itself in all manner and respects. Subject to the foregoing objections and General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12 and 13 as if stated fully herein and further states that Defendants by their own admission traded while on the Committee without first establishing an ethical wall as required by the order.

15. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, as follows:

“Upon information and belief, after being appointed to the Committee, and after receiving material, non-public information from the Debtor and the Committee, the Defendants purchased over 100,000 shares of the Debtor’s QUIPS.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 15:** Subject to the foregoing objections and General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13 and 14 as if stated fully herein. By its own admission, Magten responded to the Debtor's First Request for Production of Documents to Magten Asset Management dated June 25, 2004 and produced documents establishing Magten's purchases of over 100,000 shares of the Debtor's QUIPS. Magten has now produced in response to Debtor's First Request for Production of Documents dated December 10, 2004 additional trading records confirming Magten's purchases of the Debtor's QUIPS.

16. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, as follows:

"The Defendants' willful violation of their fiduciary duties caused the Debtor, the Committee, and the creditors damages in an amount to be determined."

**Response to Interrogatory No. 16:** As a result of the Defendants' actions, the Debtor's estate has incurred significant added costs, including, but not limited to, additional attorneys' fees and expenses. Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern states that the bases for these allegations are as follows:

Date	Description of Documents
December 18, 2003	Confidentiality Agreement between the Debtor and Committee
November 6, 2003	Order Permitting Securities Trading Upon Establishment of Ethical Wall
January 23, 2004	DRAFT – Motion by Magten Asset Management Corporation for Entry of an Order Clarifying the Order of this Court Permitting Securities Trading Upon the Establishment of an Ethical Wall
April 21, 2004	Letter from J. Austin to M. Kenney regarding removal of Magten and Law Debenture from the Committee



July 27, 2004	Deposition of Talton Embry
November 20, 2003	First Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 426)
December 17, 2003	Certificate Of No Objection To First Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 577)
December 19, 2003	Second Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 583)
January 12, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Second Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 654)
January 21, 2004	Third Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 727)
February 12, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Third Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 819)
February 20, 2004	Fourth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No 867)
March 15, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Fourth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 937)
March 29, 2004	Amended Fourth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses (Docket No. 1003)
March 26, 2004	Fifth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 995)
April 19, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Fifth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 1130)



01/05/2005

17:08

GREENBERG TRAURIG WILMINGTON

NO. 546 0024

April 24, 2004	Sixth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1156)
May 19, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Sixth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 1318)
June 25, 2004	Seventh Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1547)
June 30, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Seventh Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 1782)
July 9, 2004	Amended Seventh Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses (Docket No. 1634)
July 8, 2004	Eighth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1626)
July 29, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Eighth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 1778)
July 30, 2004	Ninth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1783)
August 17, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Ninth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 1999)
September 23, 2004	Tenth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2115)
October 18, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Tenth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 2232)

October 15, 2004	Eleventh Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2225)
November 5, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Eleventh Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 2332)
October 22, 2004	Twelfth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2261)
November 24, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Twelfth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 2396)
December 1, 2004	Thirteenth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP As Bankruptcy And Reorganization Counsel To The Debtor And Debtor-In-Possession For Compensation And Reimbursement Of Expenses <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2426)
December 23, 2004	Certificate Of No Objection To Thirteenth Monthly Interim Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP (Docket No. 2507)
August 2, 2004	Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP For The First Interim Period (Docket No. 1792)
August 6, 2004	Fee Auditor's Amended Final Report Regarding Fee Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP For The First Interim Period (Docket No. 1858)
October 14, 2004	Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application Of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP For The Second Interim Period (Docket No. 2212)
November 20, 2003	First Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 423)
December 17, 2003	Certification of No Objection To First Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor (Docket No. 557)
January 6, 2004	Second Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 645)

January 28, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Second Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor (Docket No. 758)
January 27, 2004	Third Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 755)
February 20, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Third Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 859)
February 26, 2004	Fourth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 887)
March 19, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Fourth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 969)
April 14, 2004	Fifth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1105)
May 10, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Fifth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 1253)
April 22, 2004	Sixth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1149)
June 2, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Sixth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 1396)
May 26, 2004	Seventh Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1370)
June 16, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Seventh Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 1486)
July 7, 2004	Eighth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1619)
August 10, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Eighth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 1486)

September 3, 2004	Notice of Filing of Ninth Interim Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2043)
September 24, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Ninth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 2117)
September 20, 2004	Notice of Filing of Tenth Interim Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2101)
October 14, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Tenth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 2213)
November 1, 2004	Notice of Filing of Eleventh Interim Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2303)
November 23, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Eleventh Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 2387)
November 23, 2004	Notice of Filing of Twelfth Interim Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements as Counsel to the Debtor <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2393)
December 15, 2004	Certification of No Objection To Twelfth Interim Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP for Allowance of Compensation and for Reimbursement of Disbursements (Docket No. 2492)
January 15, 2004	First Quarterly Fee Application Request of Greenberg Traurig, LLP as Counsel to the Debtor and for the Period September 14, 2003 through and Including November 30, 2003 <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 703)
February 9, 2004	Certificate of No Objection to First Quarterly Fee Application Request of Greenberg Traurig, LLP as Counsel to the Debtor and for the Period September 14, 2003 through and Including November 30, 2003 (Docket No. 805)
April 14, 2004	Second Quarterly Fee Application Request of Greenberg Traurig, LLP as Counsel to the Debtor and for the Period September 14, 2003 through and Including November 30, 2003 <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1112)
July 13, 2004	Third Quarterly Fee Application Request of Greenberg Traurig, LLP as Counsel to the Debtor and for the Period September 14, 2003 through and Including November 30, 2003 <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1657)

October 21, 2004	Fourth Quarterly Fee Application Request of Greenberg Traurig, LLP as Counsel to the Debtor and for the Period September 14, 2003 through and Including November 30, 2003 <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2250)
December 1, 2004	Notice of Filing of Fifth Quarterly and Final Fee Application <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2438)
June 14, 2004	Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP, for the First Interim Period <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 1471)
February 29, 2004	Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Greenberg Traurig, LLP, for the Second Interim Period <i>with all exhibits attached thereto</i> (Docket No. 2208)
December 1, 200412/01/04	Application For Compensation For Payment Of Fees And Expenses Pursuant To Plan Of Reorganization Filed By Law Debenture Trust Company Of New York, As Indenture Trustee (Docket No. 2427)
January 3, 2005	Objection to the Request of Law Debenture Trust Company of New York for Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses Pursuant to the Debtor's Plan of Reorganization and Applicable Trust Indenture (Docket No. 2522)

17. Set forth each and every fact and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, as follows:

"The Defendants willfully violated their fiduciary duties, willfully violated the Securities Trading Order, willfully violated the Confidentiality Agreement, and potentially violated the federal securities laws when they purchased over 100,000 shares of the Debtor's QUIPS in market trades after receipt of the Committee's and the Debtor's non-public information."

**Response to Interrogatory No. 17:** NorthWestern objects to Interrogatory No. 17 as duplicative of Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16. Subject to the foregoing objection and the General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 as if fully set forth herein.



18. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, including, without limitation:

"The Defendants' conduct in misappropriating and using the Committee's and the Debtor's non-public information for their self interests constitutes inequitable conduct that caused injury to the Debtor, its creditors, and the Committee."

**Response to Interrogatory No. 18:** NorthWestern objects to Interrogatory No. 18 as duplicative of Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17. Subject to the foregoing objection and the General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 as if fully set forth herein.

19. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint, including, without limitation

"The Defendants have retained possession, custody, and control over the non-public information."

**Response to Interrogatory No. 19:** Subject to the foregoing General Objections, NorthWestern states that as a member of the Committee, Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, received confidential, non-public information while a member of the Committee and such information has not been returned. Magten and/or its legal counsel, Fried Frank, remains in possession of such information.

20. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, including, without limitation:

“Upon information and belief, after receipt of the non-public information, and while in possession, custody, and control of such information, the Defendants purchased shares of the Debtor’s QUIPS.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 20:** NorthWestern objects to Interrogatory No. 20 as duplicative of Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19. Subject to the foregoing objection and the General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 as if fully set forth herein.

21. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) upon which NorthWestern bases its allegations as set forth in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint.

“Upon information and belief, the Defendants, as members of the Committee, did not establish an ethical wall prior to trading in the Debtor’s securities.”

**Response to Interrogatory No. 21:** NorthWestern objects to Interrogatory No. 21 as duplicative of Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20. Subject to the foregoing objection and the General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatories No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 as if fully set forth herein.

NorthWestern further states that the bases for these allegations is the deposition testimony of Embry on July 27, 2004. Embry stated that he owned a hundred percent (100%) of Magten and that Magten had only two employees, himself and his secretary. Embry Deposition Transcript,

pp. 4 and 5. Based on Embry's testimony, and upon information and belief, Magten was unable to establish an ethical wall as Embry and his secretary were its sole employees.

22. Set forth each and every fact (and identify the evidentiary basis for each such fact) which NorthWestern contends supports its claim that damages or injuries were suffered by any creditor of NorthWestern including, without limitation, the Committee or any of its members.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 22:** NorthWestern objects to Interrogatory No. 22 as duplicative of Interrogatory No. 16. Subject to the foregoing objection and the General Objections, NorthWestern incorporates its response to Interrogatory No. 16 as if fully set forth herein. The Defendants' actions and the legal fees incurred as a direct result of these actions increased the Debtor's reorganization costs by millions of dollars to the significant detriment of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, creditors and interest holders.

23. Identify any computation of damages performed or discussed by NorthWestern or its Advisors which damages NorthWestern seeks to recover in this case and set forth each and every fact underlying such computation.

**Response to Interrogatory No. 23:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern states that it is still analyzing the damages, in addition to the increased legal fees and costs described above, and will provide such analysis as soon as the analysis is complete. NorthWestern reserves the right to supplement its response to this Interrogatory upon the discovery of additional information.

24. Identify all persons which NorthWestern contends suffered damages or injuries because of Defendants' alleged conduct as set forth in the Complaint and state for each Person the nature of any injury and the amount of such damage.



**Response to Interrogatory No. 24:** NorthWestern objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Subject to the foregoing objection and General Objections, NorthWestern states that it is still analyzing the damages in connection with this case and will provide such analysis as soon as the analysis is complete. At a minimum, the Debtor's estate, the Debtor, Reorganized Debtor and creditors and interest holders have been damaged by the Defendants' actions. NorthWestern reserves the right to supplement its response to this Interrogatory upon the discovery of additional information.

DATED: January 6, 2005

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY &  
WALKER LLP

Karol K. Denniston  
Jesse H. Austin, III  
600 Peachtree Street  
Suite 2400  
Atlanta, GA 30308  
Telephone: (404) 815-2400

and

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: 

Scott D. Cousins (No. 3079)  
William E. Chipman, Jr. (No. 3818)  
Paul D. Brown (No. 3903)  
The Brandywine Building  
1000 West Street, Suite 1540  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: (302) 661-7000

And

Adam D. Cole  
885 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 801-2100

Counsel for NorthWestern Corporation